

External Audit Completion Report  
Shireland Collegiate Academy Trust  
Year ended 31 August 2017

# Contents

	Page
1 Introduction	3
2 Key reporting matters	4
3 Significant risks and audit approach	7
4 Risk of fraud and independence	8
5 Recommendations	9
Appendix 1 – Summary of adjusted and unadjusted mistatements	11
Appendix 2 - Academy sector developments	13

This document has been prepared for the intended recipients only. To the fullest extent permitted by law Moore Stephens does not accept or assume liability, responsibility or duty of care for any use or reliance on this document by anyone other than the intended recipient or as expressly agreed by Moore Stephens in advance.

© 2016 Moore Stephens. All rights reserved. "Moore Stephens" is a member firm of Moore Stephens International Limited, a worldwide network of independent firms. Moore Stephens International Limited and Moore Stephens and its member firms are legally distinct and separate entities. Moore Stephens is registered to carry on audit work in the UK and Ireland and regulated for a range of investment business activities by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.





# 1 Introduction

## The purpose of this document

We are pleased to present a summary of our audit findings of Shireland Collegiate Academy Trust (“the Academy”) for the year ended 31 August 2017.

Our audit work is now substantially complete and we take this opportunity to draw your attention to those matters we have noted during the course of the audit.

As auditors, we are also required under the International Standard on Auditing 260 (ISA 260) to communicate certain matters arising from the audit of the financial statements to those charged with governance.

## Audit approach

We performed our audit work using the audit approach we communicated to you in our audit planning letter.

Our audit work is designed to consider whether the financial statements of the Academy give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the Academy and of its results for the year under review taking into account the requirements of:

- UK Accounting Standards (UK Generally Accepted Accounting Practice);
- Companies Act 2006 (Company Limited by Guarantee)
- Charities Act 2011 (exempt Charity) and Charities SORP 2015 (FRS 102)
- Academies Accounts Direction 2017 issued by the Education & Skills Funding Agency (“ESFA”)

- Academies Financial handbook 2016 issued by the ESFA
- ## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the Academy’s finance team, especially Jane Kellas and Gary Reid, for their help and co-operation during our audit fieldwork.

## Audit status

Our audit work is complete.

## Disclosure

We take this opportunity to remind you that:

- This report has been prepared for the sole use of the Academy;
- It must not be disclosed to any third party without our written consent; and
- No responsibility is assumed by us to any other person who may choose to rely on it for his or her own purposes.



## 2 Key reporting matters

### Financial statements audit conclusion

In our opinion the financial statements give a true and fair view and comply with UK Generally Accepted Accounting Practice, the Statement of Recommended Practice – Accounting and Reporting for Charities – SORP 2015 (FRS102) and the requirements of the Accounts Direction.

We are pleased to report that our audit report, which will be included in the financial statements will be unmodified.

In our opinion, from information provided to us during the audit, no events or conditions appear to exist which cast doubt on the academy's ability to continue as a going concern. We are therefore satisfied with the disclosure in the financial statements.

Our audit opinion is based on your approval of the financial statements and signing of the letter of representation, within the letter, you have confirmed that there are no subsequent events that require amendment to the financial statements.

### Regularity audit conclusion

In addition to our report expressing an opinion on the financial statements, we also produce a report providing a conclusion on "regularity". Our limited assurance regularity report must state whether anything has come to our attention which suggests that in all material respects the expenditure disbursed and income received during the period of account has not been applied to purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions do not conform to the authorities which govern them.

We have requested management to complete our regularity self-assessment checklist to ensure that:

- Procedures are in place in connection with general procurement, tendering, use of credit cards and expenses;

- Procedures are in place in order to ensure appropriate remuneration of payroll staff, agency staff and consultants;
- Procedures are in place to ensure that conflicts of interest and related party transactions are identified and the disclosures in the financial statements are appropriate.

The Academy has not informed us of any control weakness or irregularity in these areas.

We have identified matters reported in section 5 on recommendations but no matters that we consider represent a material instance of irregularity.

Based upon our work carried out to date we anticipate issuing an unmodified regularity audit opinion.

### Significant audit risks

At the planning stage we issued our audit planning letter which highlighted three significant audit risks we had identified and the work we planned to perform to address them. We carried out these procedures during our audit and have summarised our findings and conclusions in section 3.

### Identified misstatements

Misstatements that we have identified and have been adjusted for in the final accounts are detailed in Appendix 1 to this report.

## Shireland Collegiate Academy Trust External Audit Completion Report – Year ended 31 August 2017



### Significant difficulties

We are required to communicate to you if we encounter significant difficulties while performing our work.

We have not experienced any significant difficulties during our audit and we have not identified any significant matters which we consider should be reported to you.

### Internal controls

During the course of our audit we reviewed the principal internal controls that Management has established to enable them to ensure, as far as possible, the accuracy and reliability of the Academy accounting records and to safeguard the assets.

The purpose of our audit is to express an opinion on the financial statements and not to express an opinion of the effectiveness of the internal control environment. Any weaknesses we have identified and reported should not therefore be regarded as a complete list of all deficiencies which may exist.

During the course of our audit we have identified internal control weaknesses. These along with the recommendations for improvement are summarised in section 5.

### Required communications

Auditing standards require us to communicate further matters to you by exception and to evaluate the adequacy of the communication process between us.

We confirm that there are no further matters to be communicated and that we are satisfied with the adequacy and effectiveness of the communication between us.

### Readiness for audit

The Academy's finance team were prepared for our audit as we would have expected.

### Response to queries and information requests throughout fieldwork

All staff worked hard to assist us during the audit and were quick in responding to our queries.

Shireland Collegiate Academy Trust  
External Audit Completion Report – Year ended 31 August 2017



**Qualitative aspects of accounting practices and financial reporting**

During the course of our audit, we consider the qualitative aspect of the financial reporting process, including items that have a significant impact on the relevance, reliability, comparability and materiality of the information provided by the financial statements. The following observations have been made:

<b>The appropriateness of the accounting policies used</b>	We have reviewed the significant accounting policies which are disclosed in the financial statements and consider these to be appropriate, and consistent with both last year and the Accounts Direction 2016/2017.
<b>The timing of the transactions and the period in which they are recorded</b>	We did not identify any significant transactions where we had concerns over the timing or the period in which they were recognised. Specifically we confirm that we have assessed the timing of recognition of grants, and agree with the treatment adopted.
<b>The appropriateness of the accounting estimates and judgements used</b>	The main estimates requiring judgement are linked to fixed assets and pension scheme valuations. No issues have been identified. No other significant accounting estimates or judgements were required in the preparation of the financial statements.
<b>The potential effect on the financial statements, of any uncertainties, including significant risks and disclosures such as pending litigation that are required to be disclosed in the financial statements</b>	There are no uncertainties including any significant risk or required disclosures that should be included in the financial statements.
<b>The extent to which the financial statements have been affected by unusual transactions during the period and the extent that these transactions are separately disclosed in the financial statements</b>	From the audit testing performed, we identified no unusual transactions in the period.
<b>Apparent misstatements in the Trustees' report or material inconsistencies with the financial statements</b>	There has been no misstatement or material inconsistency with the financial statements included in the Trustees' report.
<b>Any significant financial statement disclosures to bring to your attention</b>	There are no significant financial statement disclosures that we consider should be brought to your attention. All disclosures made are required by relevant legislation and applicable accounting standards.
<b>Disagreement over any accounting treatment or financial statement disclosure</b>	There was no disagreement during the course of the audit over any accounting treatment or disclosure.



### 3 Significant risks and audit approach

Significant audit risk	Our response to the audit risk	Conclusion
<p><b>Revenue Recognition</b> This is considered to be a fraud risk area under International Standards of Auditing (UK and Ireland). We consider that the specific revenue risk relates to rights and obligations of your EFA funding and cut off.</p>	<p>We reviewed EFA grants received in the period and considered whether grants are recorded in line with the terms and conditions set. This included the assessment of claw back of grants received and the treatment of any accrued or deferred elements.</p> <p>We reviewed all sources of income and controls pertaining to these sources of income to determine whether there was a risk of a material misstatement.</p>	<p>Our audit work in this area has been successfully completed. We have obtained the audit evidence we require to conclude that revenue recognition is not materially misstated and there are no significant matters which need to be reported to you.</p>
<p><b>Defined Benefit Pension Scheme</b> Accounting for defined benefit pension schemes is complicated and requires assumptions to be made of future changes in scheme membership, life expectancy and other factors. For this reason the accounting entries required under Financial Reporting Standard 102 are calculated by the scheme actuary.</p>	<p>We reviewed the assumptions made in arriving at the actuarial valuation and assessed them against our technical accounting team's accepted range of pension scheme assumptions. These have been identified from assessing a large number of defined benefit schemes and other statistical data.</p> <p>We also ensured the accounting entries have been correctly accounted for and presented in the accounts.</p>	<p>The assumptions made were within our technical teams accepted range of pension scheme assumptions.</p> <p>The accounting entries have been correctly accounted for in accordance with FRS 102.</p>
<p><b>Risk of management override</b> The International Standards on auditing (ISAs) presume that management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records by overriding internal controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.</p> <p>Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur, there is an inherent risk of financial misreporting due to fraud which represents a significant risk on all audits.</p>	<p>We updated our understanding of the Academy's internal control procedures including those which are in place to address the risk of fraud or error occurring.</p> <p>Our testing strategy included general ledger journal testing coupled with consideration and review of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• key accounting policies;</li> <li>• material accounting estimates;</li> <li>• use of management judgement; and</li> <li>• any unusual or individually significant business transactions</li> </ul>	<p>There is no indication that the financial statements are misstated as a result of management override.</p>

We did not identify any additional significant risks during the course of performing our audit.



## 4 Risk of fraud and independence

### Fraud

International Standard on Auditing (UK & Ireland) 240 “The Auditor’s responsibilities relating to fraud in an audit of financial statements” sets out our responsibilities as auditors for obtaining reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error.

Our key objectives in connection with this responsibility are:

- To identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud;
- To obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the assessed risks of material misstatement due to fraud, through designing and implementing appropriate responses; and
- To respond appropriately to fraud or suspected fraud identified during the audit.

However, the primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud rests with management and the Academy. It is important that management place a strong emphasis on fraud prevention by putting in place a structure which deters individuals from committing fraud because of the likelihood of detection and punishment. This involves a commitment to creating a culture of honesty and ethical behaviour. The Academy also have a responsibility to consider the potential for override of controls or other inappropriate influence over the financial reporting process, such as efforts by management to manage results.

Where applicable, all known frauds and attempted frauds have been disclosed as part of the audit process.

### Independence

We confirm there are no relationships between Moore Stephens and the Academy, the Trustees and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff.

We also confirm that we have complied with the requirements of auditing standards (ISAs UK & Ireland) and UK Ethical Standards in relation to independence and objectivity.



## 5 Recommendations

During the course of our audit of the financial statements for the year ended 31 August 2017, we examined the principal internal controls which the Trustees of the Academy have established to enable them to ensure, as far as possible, the accuracy and reliability of the Academy's accounting records and to safeguard the Academy's assets.

It should be noted that our audit was planned and performed in order to allow us to provide an opinion on the financial statements and it should not be relied upon to reveal all errors and weaknesses that may exist.

Management have responded to the recommendations noting the person responsible for action and an agreed timescale for implementation.

We have also discussed a number of minor control and disclosure matters arising with Management as part of the audit debrief. The significant material recommendations noted from our audit work are detailed in the action plan below.

### Action plan – audit recommendations

We identified a number of observations which we consider require management action. Recommendations to address the observations are detailed in the action plan below, together with management responses.

Grade	Definition
1	major issues for the attention of senior management which may have the potential to result in a material weakness in internal control
2	important issues to be addressed by management in their areas of responsibility
3	problems of a more minor nature which provide scope for improvement.

### Action plan – current year

1	General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR)
<b>Observation</b>	The GDPR will apply in the UK from 25 May 2018. The principles of GDPR are similar to those in the current Data Protection Act with added details and a new accountability requirement. The GDPR requires all Academy Trusts to have systems and procedures in place to comply with the principles of the Regulation.
<b>Risk</b>	That the academy trust does not have the required systems and procedures in place by the 25 May 2018. Non-compliance with the new regulations can incur fines of up to €20m or 4% of income.
<b>Grade</b>	2
<b>Recommendation</b>	That the new General Data Protection Regulations are reviewed and plans put in place to ensure full compliance in advance of the 25 May 2018.
<b>Management response</b>	We are currently reviewing our compliance with GDPR. Our project team is in the process of developing a timeline which identifies the key milestones which will enable us to meet the requirements and have the appropriate procedures and processes in place by the 25 <sup>th</sup> May 2018.
<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Head teacher & Data Protection Officer
<b>Timeline for completion:</b>	by May 25 <sup>th</sup> 2018

### Follow up to prior year recommendations

1	Employment contracts
<b>Original recommendation</b>	Staff contracts to be updated where an employment contract cannot be located easily.
<b>Management response</b>	We currently have a programme in place to ensure employment contracts are current and updated for all staff
<b>Responsible Officer</b>	Jane Kellas
<b>Follow up</b>	The employee files that were reviewed during this year's audit all contained signed contracts.

## Appendix 1 – Summary of adjusted and unadjusted misstatements

Under the requirements of ISA 260 *Communication of audit matters* we are required to communicate all adjusted and unadjusted audit differences, other than those which are clearly trivial, to the Audit Committee.

Based on your income and expenditure account, our performance materiality was £150,000.

This section contains details of unadjusted and adjusted misstatements, which we have found during the course of our audit work and are obliged to bring to your attention.

Identified adjusted misstatements and unadjusted misstatements are detailed below:

### Unadjusted misstatements

No	Description	Income & Expenditure		Balance sheet		Surplus Impact
		Dr £000	Cr £000	Dr £000	Cr £000	£000
1	None noted	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Impact on I&amp;E Surplus</b>						-

## Appendix 1 – Summary of Pension Adjustment

Adjusted

No	Description	Income & Expenditure		Balance sheet		Surplus Impact
		Dr £000	Cr £000	Dr £000	Cr £000	£000
1	Actuarial gain Pension cost (SoFA) Pension deficit (BS)	396	489	93		489 (396) -
Being: FRS102 adjustments in relation to the LGPS						
<b>Impact on I&amp;E Surplus</b>						<b>93</b>

## Appendix 2 - Academy sector developments

### Dear Accounting Officer Letter

In his letter to Accounting Officer on 6 October 2016, Peter Lauener, Chief Executive, Education Funding Agency highlighted some important financial management and governance issues for the academy sector. He reminded Accounting Officers of their personal responsibility to set the standards of financial management and governance alongside the Trustee board and the senior management team.

Compliance with the Academies Financial Handbook is the bedrock by acting in line with the “Nolan” seven principles of public life, namely

- Selflessness;
- Integrity;
- Objectivity;
- Accountability;
- Openness;
- Honesty; and
- Leadership.

He asked Accounting Officers and Trustee boards to consider the following issues in your Academy:

- Be satisfied that lines of internal accountability are appropriate;
- Establish and monitor policies that you and your Trustees would be comfortable defending in public;
- Ensure you can evidence compliance;
- Have the right mix of skills on the board; and
- Manage transactions with related parties appropriately.

### New Academies Financial Handbook 2016

The new handbook came into effect on 1 September 2016. It is pleasing to report that there are no new requirements in this edition, but more emphasis on key points about management and governance, in particular:

- Embeds the requirement to address skill gaps in the Trustee board through further recruitment, induction or training;
- Every Trust must have a senior executive leader (principal, chief executive or equivalent) to act as the Accounting Officer and this role should not be rotated between the senior management team;
- Every Trust must now have a whistleblowing policy so that staff can raise concerns and know that they will be dealt with properly;
- For Multi Academy Trusts your audit committee oversight must extend to the financial controls and risks at constituent academies;
- Further guidance is provided to develop awareness of the risk of fraud; and
- Clarification of who must publically disclose matters on the register of interests.

### Financial health and efficiency

Academy budgets are facing pressure so it is critical that Trustee boards have robust oversight of financial health and efficiency. There are a growing number of Academy Trusts facing financial difficulties and EFA interventions such as financial notices to improve.

The EFA have expanded the tools and guidance available to Academies to develop your financial management covering subjects such as budget planning, benchmarking, financial governance and effective procurement including examples of good practice across the sector.

Where your Academy is having difficulties in balancing your budget now and in the next three years we would encourage you to review the guidance issued by the EFA and discuss with your Moore Stephens team as soon as possible.

### Annual accounts return

Each Academy with a year end on 31 August who have not converted during the year is required to submit an annual accounts return (AAR) to the EFA by 31 January. The AAR helps the EFA to consolidate all of the academies accounts into the Department for Education annual accounts.

The process for submitting the AAR for submission by 31 January 2017 has changed to an on-line portal. If you require any help or assistance with the AAR please contact your Moore Stephens team.

The EFA are looking to bring forward the submission date. There was an initial proposal for this year's AAR to be submitted by mid January 2017, but this has been abandoned reverting back to the original deadline of 31 January 2017. We expect the EFA to bring forward the submission date in future years.

### Apprenticeship levy

In April 2017 the way the government funds apprenticeships in England is changing with the introduction of the Apprenticeship Levy. Some employers will be required to contribute to a new apprenticeship levy, and there will be changes to the funding for apprenticeship training for all employers.

The Levy will be payable by all employers in the UK at 0.5% of pay bill and paid through PAYE alongside income tax and National Insurance. Each employer will receive one allowance of £15,000 to offset against their Levy payment. This effectively means that the Levy will only be payable on a pay bill in excess of £3 million per year. However, this does not mean that the Levy will not be payable by small schools. There will be a connected persons rule, similar to the Employment Allowance connected persons rule, so employers who operate multiple payrolls will only be able to claim one allowance. This also means that schools who are part of a larger group, such as Multi-Academy Trust, may still be subject to the levy because the group payroll is in excess of £3 million per year.

If you would like to know more about how the Levy may affect your Academy please make contact with your Moore Stephens team.